# PENNSYLVANIA GAME COMMISSION BUREAU OF WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT PROJECT ANNUAL JOB REPORT

PROJECT CODE NO.: 06210

**TITLE:** White-tailed Deer Research/Management

**JOB CODE NO.:** 21001

**TITLE:** Deer Health, Forest Habitat Health, Deer Harvests, and Deer Population Trends by Wildlife Management Unit

**PERIOD COVERED:** 1 July 2018 through 30 June 2019

**COOPERATING AGENCIES:** Pennsylvania Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Pennsylvania State University, and U.S. Forest Service

**WORK LOCATION(S):** Statewide

**PREPARED BY:** Christopher Rosenberry, Bret Wallingford, Jeannine Tardiff Fleegle, Dave Gustafson, and Paul Lupo

**DATE:** 28 June 2019

ABSTRACT We monitored Wildlife Management Unit (WMU) deer health, forest habitat health, and deer population trends using proportion of fawns in the antlerless harvest, advanced tree seedling and sapling regeneration and deer impact from the Pennsylvania Regeneration Study, deer harvest estimates and compositions, and field studies. Proportion of juveniles in the antlerless harvest remained stable in 19 of 23 WMUs from 2013 to 2018. Forest habitat health was judged to be good in 3 WMUs, and fair in 17 WMUs. Deer impacts were determined to be acceptable in 15 WMUs and too high in 5 WMUs. Three WMUs (2B, 5C, and 5D) were not included in the forest habitat health assessment because of high levels of human development. Hunters harvested 374,690 deer (147,750 antlered and 226,940 antlerless) during the 2018-19 deer seasons. Deer populations in 20 WMUs remained stable, and 3 WMUs increased. No WMUs showed a decreasing population trend. The Board of Commissioners approved allocations as recommended in 22 WMUs, and lower than recommended in 1 WMU.

#### **OBJECTIVE**

To monitor deer health, forest habitat health, deer harvests, and deer population trends by Wildlife Management Unit (WMU).

#### **METHODS**

### **Deer Health**

To monitor deer health (i.e., population productivity defined as proportion of fawns in the antlerless harvest), 31 data collection teams examined deer in assigned areas across the state. Each team collected data for 3 days during the first week of the regular firearms season, 2 days during the second week of the season, and 2 days after the close of the season. Data were recorded electronically on Flowfinity software using Apple iPad Minis, and transmitted wirelessly to Flowfinity for analysis. Data collected included age, sex, location of harvest (WMU, county, and township), and hunting license number from ear tags. Deer teams determined deer age as 6 months (fawn), 18 months (yearling), or at least 30 months (adult) using tooth wear and replacement (Severinghaus 1949). Data collection teams also recorded points of antlers and when antlers were physically present, presence or absence of a brow tine on each antler to determine antler characteristics by age class.

We assessed population productivity by monitoring trends in proportion of juveniles in the antlerless harvest (Rosenberry et al. 2011b). We identified proportion of juveniles in the antlerless harvest trends as increasing, decreasing, or stable based on graphical and statistical methods, specifically the Mann-Kendall Test for Trend (Mann 1945, Kendall and Gibbons 1990). We chose this test because it provides a statistical test of trend in data without complex calculations and does not require actual differences between years. Since effective state agency deer programs must consider public involvement and perceptions, it is important that we assess trends with a test that is statistically appropriate, utilizes information available to the public (e.g., a graph of estimates over time), and is relatively easy to explain.

### **Forest Habitat Health**

We used forest regeneration to assess forest habitat health. Forest regeneration is not just a measure for the benefit of the forest, but also for deer and wildlife. For deer, seedling and sapling trees provide food and cover. As a result, measuring regeneration is an important measure of the sustainability of a forest, and available food and cover that benefit deer and other wildlife.

To obtain data on forest regeneration, advanced tree seedling and sapling regeneration (ATSSR) data are collected as part of a systematic sampling scheme from public and private lands in WMUs from the Pennsylvania Regeneration Study (PRS). This study is being conducted as part of the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) Forest Inventory Analysis in collaboration with Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR) and Pennsylvania State University. Subsets of all plots are collected each year, with a complete sampling of plots occurring every 5 years. Advanced tree seedling and sapling regeneration from 2 groupings of tree species are available from the PRS. The measure selected for use in deer management is the grouping of dominant canopy species and species capable of achieving high canopy status. "The composition of the ATSSR has a direct impact on the future composition of the forest overstory (Marquis et al. 1994). To cover the range of future forest character and client needs 2 composition groupings are used. The first groups tree species by preference for timber management. The second composition grouping represents the forest's ability to regenerate the existing dominant canopy. Dominant species include those that contribute at least 2% of the State's total-tree biomass and are able to grow into the existing canopy; Other High Canopy species include all others that are capable of attaining canopy dominance" (McWilliams et al. 2004).

Based on recommendations from Wildlife Management Institute (Wildlife Management Institute 2010), more plots were included in our analysis of forest regeneration. From 2006 to 2010, only data from plots that were 40 to 75 percent stocked were analyzed. Beginning in 2011, data from all forested plots were analyzed.

We requested ATSSR data for dominant canopy species and species capable of achieving high canopy status by WMU from the USFS and DCNR. Determination of adequate regeneration was based on levels of deer browse impact observed in the area of each plot. For example, a higher count of seedling and sapling regeneration is required to replace the existing canopy where deer impact is "very high" compared to a lower count of seedling and sapling regeneration where deer impact is "very low." The scaled levels of deer impact indicate deer population size in relation to food availability in a given area (i.e., carrying capacity). Areas with ample food to support the local deer population will be evident by very low to medium deer impact. Areas lacking food to support the local deer population will be evident by high to very high deer impact. These critical stocking guidelines were derived from extensive literature reviews and decades of research on deer-habitat interactions (Marquis et al. 1992). In 2008 we began using browse impact and associated stocking levels in the habitat health measure. Because of the sampling scheme used in the PRS, it takes 5 years to visit all sample plots.

Based on input from cooperating agencies that designed and conduct the PRS and an internal Game Commission review of the forest habitat health measure, we defined forest habitat as "good" if 70% or more of the sampled plots contained adequate regeneration. If less than 50% of the plots contained adequate regeneration, forest habitat health was considered "poor." "Fair" falls between levels for "good" and "poor."

Similar to the deer health measure, the forest habitat health measure is based on a sample of plots from across a WMU and we use a statistical test to assess regeneration levels. By using a statistical test to assess differences from predetermined levels (e.g., 70%), we take into account both the point estimate and associated variation.

When data are collected according to proper sampling design, estimates can be statistically compared to 50% and 70% levels using a t-test. The t-test determines whether the estimate is different from the 50% or 70% level based on standard statistical procedures. Since reliability of statistical tests is related to sample sizes, forest habitat health determinations are made based on 5-year data sets to maximize sample size and reliability of statistical tests.

Decision Rules Used to Determine Forest Habitat Health.--We developed a set of criteria to assign a value of "good," "fair," or "poor" for forest habitat health. A WMU's forest habitat health was considered "good" if the observed percentage of plots with adequate regeneration was greater than, equal to, or not significantly different than 70%. If a WMU's forest habitat health was not significantly different from 70% and not significantly different from 50%, then forest habitat health was considered "fair." A WMU's forest habitat health also was considered "fair" if: 1) the observed percentage of plots with adequate regeneration was equal to 50%; or 2) between 50% and 70% and significantly less than 70%; or 3) not significantly different than 50%. A WMU's forest habitat health was considered "poor" if the observed percentage of plots with adequate regeneration was significantly less than 50%.

In addition to forest health, we also assessed deer impact on the forest. These data were collected as part of the PRS. Deer impact was assessed on a scale from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high). We identified a score of 3 (moderate) as acceptable deer impact. Similar to the deer and forest health measures, the deer impact measure is based on a sample of plots from across a WMU and we use a statistical test to assess deer impact levels. By using a statistical test to assess differences from predetermined levels (e.g., 3), we take into account both the point estimate and associated variation.

When data are collected according to proper sampling design, estimates can be statistically compared to a score of 3 using a t-test. The t-test determines whether the estimate is different from 3 based on standard statistical procedures. Since reliability of statistical tests is related to sample sizes, deer impact determinations are made based on 5-year data sets to maximize sample size and reliability of statistical tests.

# **Deer Harvest Estimates and Composition**

To estimate deer harvests and collect data for monitoring deer population trends, 31 data collection teams examined deer in assigned areas across the state. Each team collected data for 3 days during the first week of the regular firearms season, 2 days during the second week of the season, and 2 days after the close of the season. Data were recorded electronically on Flowfinity software using Apple iPad Minis, and transmitted wirelessly to Flowfinity for analysis. Data collected included age, sex, location of harvest (WMU, county, and township), and hunting license number from ear tags. Deer teams determined deer age as 6 months (fawn), 18 months (yearling), or at least 30 months (adult) using tooth wear and replacement (Severinghaus 1949). Data collection teams also recorded points of antlers and when antlers were physically present, presence or absence of a brow tine on each antler to determine antler characteristics by age class.

Data entry for deer harvest report card data was completed by Pennsylvania Game Commission staff. The Pennsylvania Game Commission's Bureau of Automated Technology Services validated and processed harvest data and ran harvest data analysis programs. For each WMU the analyses included: the number of antlered and antlerless deer checked by aging teams, the number of antlered and antlerless deer checked by deer aging teams and reported by hunters, the total number of antlered and antlerless deer reported by hunters, age and sex composition of the harvest, and reported regular firearms, muzzleloader, and archery harvests.

Deer harvests were estimated using mark-recapture methods. When estimating deer harvests, we used a closed, 2-sample Lincoln-Petersen estimator where deer were considered marked when they were checked in the field by deer aging teams. Recapture occurred when marked deer were reported on report cards, online, or via phone reporting system by hunters.

Because reporting rates in Pennsylvania vary by year, antlered and antlerless deer, and WMU (Rosenberry et al. 2004), deer harvest estimates were calculated for antlered and antlerless deer in each WMU using Chapman's (1951) modified Lincoln-Petersen estimator. This estimator is recommended (Nichols and Dickman 1996) because it has less bias than the original Lincoln-Petersen estimator (Chapman 1951).

# **Deer Population Trends**

We used a modified Sex-Age-Kill (SAK) model to account for Pennsylvania's antler restrictions to monitor deer population trends (i.e., Pennsylvania Sex-Age-Kill [PASAK] model, Norton 2010, Rosenberry et al. 2011a). Modifications involve estimation of 1.5-year-old and 2.5-year-old and older male populations. Population trend monitoring relies on research data from Pennsylvania (e.g., Long et al. 2005, Keenan 2010, Norton 2010), harvest estimates, and deer aging data. Population monitoring began with mature males (males 1.5 years of age and older) and progressed to females and fawns. Step-by-step methods and results of the PASAK model were presented to the Board of Commissioners at the January 2011 meeting and posted on the Game Commission's website (Rosenberry et al. 2011a). We also used additional data and further modified the procedure for estimating antlered harvest rates based on age structure of the antlered harvest. This method provided similar population estimates and the benefit of estimates based on annual data rather than multi-year averages used by Norton (2010).

We identified population trends as increasing, decreasing, or stable based on graphical and statistical methods, specifically the Mann-Kendall Test for Trend (Mann 1945, Kendall and Gibbons 1990). We chose this test because it provides a statistical test of trend in data without complex calculations and does not require actual differences between years. Since effective state agency deer programs must consider public involvement and perceptions, it is important that we assess trends with a test that is statistically appropriate, utilizes information available to the public (e.g., a graph of estimates over time), and is relatively easy to explain.

### **RESULTS**

### **Deer Health**

Age data from over 15,000 antlerless deer were used to assess proportion of juveniles in the antlerless harvest. Proportion of juveniles in the antlerless harvest ranged from a low of 0.28 in WMU 5A to a high of 0.46 in WMU 5D, respectively (Table 1). All WMUs, except 2C, 2D, 2E, and 3B exhibited stable trends from 2013 to 2018.

#### **Forest Habitat Health**

Wildlife Management Unit forest habitat health assessments were based on the 5 years of the Pennsylvania Regeneration Study from 2013 to 2017. We identified 3 WMUs (WMUs 2F, 3A, and 4A) with good forest habitat health, and 17 with fair forest habitat health (Table 2). In 3 highly developed WMUs (i.e., 2B, 5C, and 5D) regeneration data were not used or considered in making deer management recommendations. Results from this report cannot be compared to some previous years' reports. In reports from 2006 to 2010, only plots with 40 to 75% stocking levels were analyzed. In this year's report, all plots were analyzed. Deer impact was acceptable in 15 WMUs and too high in 5 WMUs (Table 2).

### **Deer Harvest Estimates and Composition**

Game Commission personnel checked an average of 375 (range: 88 to 693) antlered deer and 673 (range: 87 to 1,475) antlerless deer per WMU during the 2018 firearms season (Table 3). Based on deer checked and harvest reports by successful hunters, hunters harvested an estimated 374,690 deer in the 2018-19 deer seasons (Tables 3 and 4). The antlered harvest was 147,750,

down 10% compared to the 2017-18 harvest of 163,750. The antlerless harvest was 226,940, up 12% from the harvest of 203,409 in 2017-18.

Antlerless harvests include deer taken with Deer Management Assistance Program (DMAP) permits in the Chronic Wasting Disease Management Area. These permits allow hunters to take additional antlerless deer in designated land (public and private) within Disease Management Area (DMA)2, DMA3, and DMA4. In addition, hunters were allowed to harvest antlerless deer throughout the 12-day firearms season. In 2018-19, 24,800 permits were available and 5,590 antlerless deer were reported to have been harvested. Deer Management Assistance Program permits within DMA2, DMA3, and DMA4 increased antlerless deer harvests in 10 WMUs (Table 4). All permits are required to be reported, regardless of harvest, and 19,212 (79% of sold permits) were reported (Table 5). All DMAP permit holders were sent post cards in mid-January reminding them to report their harvest or lack of harvest by the early February deadline.

Antlered harvests were composed of 36% 1.5-year-old males and 64% 2.5-year-old and older males (Table 6). Compared to years prior to implementation of antler restrictions during the 2002-03 hunting seasons, the age structure of the antlered harvest has increased, as has the number of 2.5-year-old and older bucks harvested (Table 6). Antlerless harvest composition has changed little since 1997-98 hunting seasons (Table 7).

# **Deer Population Trends**

Based on PASAK, deer population trends were stable in 20 WMUs, and increasing in 3 WMUs (Table 8). No WMUs had a decreasing trend.

# **Deer Management Recommendations**

We continue to recommend consistent regulations that provide more hunting opportunities and use antlerless allocations to adjust antlerless harvests and population trends. Additional regulations we recommended included a 7-day antlerless muzzleloader season in October; a 3-day antlerless rifle season in October for junior, senior, disabled, and military license holders; sale of unsold antlerless licenses, up to 2 per hunter that remain after all hunters have had an opportunity to purchase 1; and field possession regulations that allow a hunter to harvest another deer after tagging the first deer harvested. For antlerless allocations, we provided, as requested, allocation options that would increase, decrease, or stabilize WMU deer populations. Increases and decreases in the population would be achieved by a decrease or increase of 1 deer per square mile in the antlerless harvest. To assist the Board of Commissioners (BOC) in their decisions, we provided measures of deer health (i.e., proportion of juveniles in the antlerless harvest and population trend), forest habitat health (i.e., percent plots with adequate regeneration), deer impact, and deer-human conflicts from a survey of Pennsylvania citizens (Duda et al. 2012). We recommended population stabilization in 13 WMUs (1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 2F, 2G, 2H, 3A, 4C, 5A, 5B, 5C, and 5D), and reductions in 10 WMUs (2C, 2D, 2E, 3B, 3C, 3D, 4A, 4B, 4D, and 4E). In WMUs 2C, 2D, 2E, 4A, 4B, and 4D, chronic wasting disease (CWD) has been detected in free-ranging deer. The goal is to reduce the population by 1 deer per square mile. Wildlife Management Unit 4A is the epicenter of CWD in Pennsylvania. In 2019-20, an increase in the antlerless allocation is recommended across the entire WMU. We recommend providing additional DMAP antlerless permits to reduce the deer population in areas where CWD-positive deer have been detected.

### **Action by the Board of Commissioners**

The BOC retained the 5-day antlered and 7-day concurrent firearms season in all WMUs except the urbanized units (2B, 5C, and 5D), and they adopted antlerless allocations for all WMUs (Table 9). The BOC decided to reduce recommended antlerless allocations in 1 WMU. Recommended allocations were approved in 22 WMUs. The allocation below the recommended level will allow the population to increase.

The BOC voted to change the opening day of rifle deer season to the Saturday after Thanksgiving.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Identify and develop additional analyses and measurements to improve the forest habitat health measure's ability to account for factors other than deer that affect forest regeneration and to most directly monitor deer impacts on forest regeneration.
- 2. Maintain deer aging sampling effort. Current numbers of deer checked in the field provide precise harvest estimates in most WMUs. Harvest estimates are least precise in smaller WMUs where it is more difficult to collect sufficient data.
- 3. Continue to evaluate validity of assumptions and population monitoring procedures through internal review and analyses and external peer review. Prioritize research needs based on internal and external reviews.
- 4. Return to 12-day concurrent antlered and antlerless firearms seasons for all WMUs. Deer hunter surveys indicate Pennsylvania's future hunters and their mentors prefer the 12 day concurrent season. Time to hunt was the top reason for increased hunter interest for all ages. The 12-day concurrent firearm season provides more hunting opportunities to hunters and maintains consistency in hunting seasons that is important to monitoring population trends. In addition, the antlerless allocation can control the antlerless harvest without changing season length.
- 5. Continue antler restriction regulations in accordance with goals and objectives of the 2009-2018 deer management plan.
  - 6. Continue to allow hunters to purchase and use the entire antlerless allocation.
- 7. In WMUs containing CWD-positive deer in the free-ranging population, allocate antlerless licenses to reduce the deer population and use DMAP permits to further reduce deer numbers in specific areas where CWD-positive deer have been detected. Chorionic Wasting Disease is rapidly increasing and spreading. Reducing deer populations is the most practical management option at this time.
- 8. Set antlerless license allocations to achieve deer management goals as defined in the deer management plan.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Chapman, D. G. 1951. Some properties of the hypergeometric distribution with applications to zoological censuses. University of California Publications on Statistics 1:131-160.
- Duda, M. D., M. Jones, T. Beppler, S. J. Bissell, A. Criscione, P. Doherty, A. Ritchie, C. L. Schilli, T. Winegord, and A. Lanier. 2012. Pennsylvania residents' opinions on and attitudes toward deer and deer management. Responsive Management National Office, Harrisonburg, Virginia, USA.
- Keenan, M. T. 2010. White-tailed deer harvest rate and hunter distribution. Thesis, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, USA.
- Kendall, M. G., and J. D. Gibbons. 1990. Rank Correlation Methods. Fifth edition. Edward Arnold, London, United Kingdom.
- Long, E. S., D. R. Diefenbach, C. S. Rosenberry, B. D. Wallingford, and M. D. Grund. 2005. Landscape structure influences dispersal distances of a habitat generalist, the white-tailed deer. Journal of Mammalogy 86:623-629.
- Mann, H. B. 1945. Non-parametric tests against trend. Econometrica 13:245-259.
- Marquis, D. A., R. L. Ernst, and S. L. Stout. 1992. Prescribing silvicultural treatments in hardwood stands of the Alleghenies. Revised editor. U.S. Forest Service General Technical Report NE-96.
- Marquis, D. A., editor. 1994. Quantitative silviculture for hardwood forests of the Alleghenies. General Technical Report. NE-183. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station, Radnor, Pennsylvania, USA.
- McWilliams, W. H., C. A. Alerich, D. A. Devlin, A. J. Lister, T. W. Lister, S. L. Sterner, and J. A. Westfall. 2004. Annual inventory report for Pennsylvania's forests: results from the first three years. Resource Bulletin NE-159. USDA Forest Service, Newtown Square, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Nichols, J. D. and C. R. Dickman. 1996. Capture-recapture methods in measuring and monitoring biological diversity: standard methods for mammals. Pages 217-226 *in* D. E. Wilson, F. R. Cole, J. D. Nichols, R. Rudran, and M. S. Foster, editors. Smithsonian Institute Press, Washington D.C., USA.
- Norton, A. S. 2010. An evaluation of the Pennsylvania sex-age-kill model for white-tailed deer. Thesis, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, USA.
- Rosenberry, C. S., D. R. Diefenbach, and B. D. Wallingford. 2004. Reporting rate variability and precision of white-tailed deer harvest estimates in Pennsylvania. Journal of Wildlife Management 68:860-869.

- Rosenberry, C. S., J. T. Fleegle, and B. D. Wallingford. 2011a. Monitoring deer populations in Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Game Commission, Harrisburg, USA.
- Rosenberry, C. S., A. S. Norton, D. R. Diefenbach, J. T. Fleegle, and B. D. Wallingford. 2011b. White-tailed deer age ratios as herd management and predator impact measures in Pennsylvania. Wildlife Society Bulletin 35:461-468.
- Severinghaus, C. W. 1949. Tooth development and wear as criteria of age in white-tailed deer. Journal of Wildlife Management 13:195-216.
- Wildlife Management Institute. 2010. The deer management program of the Pennsylvania Game Commission: a comprehensive review and evaluation. The Wildlife Management Institute, Washington D.C., USA. <a href="http://lbfc.legis.state.pa.us/reports/2010/43.PDF">http://lbfc.legis.state.pa.us/reports/2010/43.PDF</a> Accessed 22 Oct 2010.

Table 1. Number of antlerless deer examined in 2018, proportion of juveniles in the antlerless 2018 harvest, and trend in the proportion of juveniles in the antlerless harvest by Wildlife Management Unit (WMU) from 2013 to 2018, Pennsylvania.

	Proportion of juveniles									
WMU	n	in antlerless harvest	Trend							
1A	593	0.39	Stable							
1B	1,475	0.35	Stable							
2A	722	0.32	Stable							
2B	488	0.36	Stable							
2C	740	0.34	Decline							
2D	1,408	0.33	Decline							
2E	465	0.32	Decline							
2F	758	0.36	Stable							
2G	460	0.32	Stable							
2H	87	0.35	Stable							
3A	451	0.34	Stable							
3B	774	0.30	Decline							
3C	1,007	0.32	Stable							
3D	436	0.30	Stable							
4A	413	0.30	Stable							
4B	525	0.31	Stable							
4C	740	0.37	Stable							
4D	751	0.29	Stable							
4E	981	0.33	Stable							
5A	196	0.28	Stable							
5B	1,017	0.37	Stable							
5C	728	0.39	Stable							
5D	268	0.46	Stable							

Table 2. Number of regeneration plots sampled, percent with adequate regeneration, mean deer impact and qualitative assessments of regeneration and deer impact by Wildlife Management Unit (WMU). Data are based on samples collected from 2013 to 2017, Pennsylvania. Results are based on all forested plots and cannot be compared to some previous years that only included 40% to 75% stocked plots.

	rea protes.	% plots with			_
		adequate	Forest health	Mean deer	
WMU	n	regeneration	assessment	impact	Impact assessment
1A	19	50.0	Fair	3.1	Acceptable
1B	20	49.3	Fair	3.1	Acceptable
2A	25	41.2	Fair	3.0	Acceptable
2B	$n/a^a$	n/aa	n/a <sup>a</sup>	n/a <sup>a</sup>	$n/a^a$
2C	40	60.0	Fair	3.1	Acceptable
2D	31	48.4	Fair	3.0	Acceptable
2E	23	60.0	Fair	3.3	Acceptable
2F	31	68.8	Good	3.1	Acceptable
2G	48	55.2	Fair	3.2	Acceptable
2H	18	62.1	Fair	2.9	Acceptable
3A	14	69.2	Good	3.1	Acceptable
3B	33	57.4	Fair	3.2	Too high
3C	28	50.0	Fair	3.3	Too high
3D	34	57.0	Fair	3.4	Too high
4A	25	68.2	Good	2.6	Too high
4B	25	59.2	Fair	3.3	Acceptable
4C	27	60.0	Fair	3.2	Acceptable
4D	36	48.0	Fair	3.2	Acceptable
4E	17	68.9	Fair	3.4	Too high
5A	6	60.0	Fair	4.0	Acceptable
5B	15	49.0	Fair	3.2	Acceptable
5C	$n/a^a$	n/a <sup>a</sup>	$n/a^a$	n/a <sup>a</sup>	$n/a^a$
5D	$n/a^a$	n/a <sup>a</sup>	n/a <sup>a</sup>	n/a <sup>a</sup>	$n/a^a$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Regeneration data from these highly developed WMUs were not analyzed or considered in making deer management recommendations.

Table 3. Number of deer checked by Pennsylvania Game Commission personnel, number of report cards sent in by successful hunters, and estimated harvests for antlered and antlerless deer by Wildlife Management Unit (WMU), Pennsylvania, 2018-19. These results do not include antlerless harvests from Disease Management Area (DMA) permits (See Table 4).

1		Antlered	initis (Bee Tuble -	Antlerless		
WMU	Deer checked	Report cards	Harvest <sup>a</sup>	Deer checked	Report cards	Harvest <sup>a</sup>
1A	187	2,067	5,800	593	3,602	12,400
1B	479	2,457	8,000	1,475	4,058	15,800
2A	345	1,674	6,000	722	2,483	10,900
2B	119	1,594	5,000	488	2,619	12,000
2C	481	3,415	9,600	740	3,906	11,100
2D	451	3,826	11,800	1,408	6,237	20,300
2E	321	2,240	6,300	465	2,667	8,700
2F	566	2,757	7,700	758	2,431	7,500
2G	447	2,754	6,300	460	2,558	7,400
2H	88	918	2,500	87	679	1,800
3A	381	1,793	4,800	451	2,235	7,400
3B	536	2,494	7,000	774	2,608	8,400
3C	583	2,928	7,700	1,007	3,948	12,200
3D	441	1,819	5,200	436	1,692	5,700
4A	286	2,042	5,100	413	2,131	6,400
4B	370	1,904	5,300	525	2,029	6,800
4C	442	2,492	5,800	740	2,558	7,200
4D	693	2,929	8,300	751	2,777	8,700
4E	543	2,540	7,000	981	3,092	9,300
5A	97	1,419	3,100	196	1,793	4,600
5B	404	3,258	9,200	1,017	4,962	14,200
5C	270	2,854	7,600	728	5,106	16,400
5D	97	1,154	2,600	268	2,721	6,000
Unk.		19	50		55	150

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Estimated harvests are rounded to the nearest 100 or 1,000 based on precision of harvest estimate. Unknown WMU harvests are rounded to the nearest 10 due to the small number.

Table 4. Reported Disease Management Area (DMA) permit antlerless deer harvest by Wildlife Management Unit (WMU), Pennsylvania 2014-15 to 2016-17. Starting in 2017-18, specific land inside the DMA's were enrolled in a Deer Management Assistance Program (DMAP) to focus antlerless harvest near known CWD-positive locations.

WMU	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
1A	0	0	0	0	0
1B	0	0	0	0	0
2A	0	0	0	0	0
2B	0	0	0	0	0
2C	429	1,190	1,435	272	687
2D	0	0	0	391	658
2E	0	0	141	469	1,001
2F	0	0	0	2	473
2G	0	0	0	1	2
2H	0	0	0	0	0
3A	0	0	0	0	0
3B	0	0	0	0	0
3C	0	0	0	0	0
3D	0	0	0	0	0
4A	1,805	2,270	2,213	1,172	1,830
4B	0	0	0	8	116
4C	0	0	0	0	0
4D	248	243	333	17	381
4E	0	0	0	0	0
5A	0	0	0	1	0
5B	0	0	0	0	408
5C	0	0	0	0	15
5D	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5. Number of Disease Management Area (DMA) permits and DMAP permits allocated, sold, reported, reporting rate, antlerless deer harvested, and permits sold per antlerless deer harvested in Pennsylvania's CWD Disease Management Areas (DMAs), 2014-15 to 2018-19.

Year	DMA	Permits Allocated	Permits Sold	Permits Reported	Reporting Rate	Reported Harvest	Licenses per Harvest
2014-15 <sup>a</sup>	2	13,000	12,170	10,734	0.88	2,482	4.9
2015-16 <sup>a</sup>	2	13,500	13,521	12,562	0.93	3,703	3.7
2016-17 <sup>a</sup>	2	14,500	14,542	13,388	0.92	4,124	3.5
2017-18 <sup>b</sup>	2	7,200	7,200	6,188	0.86	575	4.9
	3	2,800	2,800	2,193	0.78	894	3.2
2018-19 <sup>b</sup>	2	14,800	14,748	12,021	0.82	3,039	4.9
	3	7,200	7,200	5,469	0.76	2,128	3.4
	4	2,800	2,455	1,722	0.70	423	5.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> From 2014-15 to 2016-17, DMA2 Permits were available for use throughout DMA2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Beginning in 2017-18, DMAP permits were available within specific DMAP Units in each DMA.

Table 6. Number of antlered deer aged, age composition of harvests, and approximate number of 2.5-year-old and older males harvested in Pennsylvania, 1997-98 to 2018-19. Three and 4-point antler restrictions started in 2002-03. In 2011, the 4-point antler restriction was modified to 3-points not including the brow tine. Percentages may not add up to 100 percent due to rounding.

¥7		% 1.5-year-	% 2.5-year-old and older	No. of 2.5-year-old and older males
<b>Year</b>	n	old males	males	harvested
1997-98	18,563	81	19	33,600
1998-99	21,350	81	19	34,500
1999-00	20,011	80	20	38,900
2000-01	22,145	82	18	36,600
2001-02	18,893	78	22	44,700
2002-03	11,694	68	32	52,900
2003-04	11,367	56	44	62,600
2004-05	10,559	50	50	62,000
2005-06	9,062	52	48	57,800
2006-07	10,819	56	44	59,500
2007-08	8,014	56	44	48,000
2008-09	9,357	52	48	59,200
2009-10	8,443	49	51	55,200
2010-11	9,032	48	52	64,400
2011-12	10,311	50	50	63,770
2012-13	10,588	48	52	69,000
2013-14	9,937	47	53	71,200
2014-15	9,225	43	57	67,978
2015-16	9,762	41	59	81,172
2016-17	9,792	44	56	83,403
2017-18	11,404	43	57	93,418
2018-19	9,485	36	64	94,560

Table 7. Number of antlerless deer aged and age composition of harvests in Pennsylvania, 1997-98 to 2018-19. Percentages may not add up to 100 percent due to rounding.

		% 0.5-year-	% 0.5-year-	% 1.5-year-old and
Year	n	old males	old females	older females
1997-98	28,743	24	20	56
1998-99	24,913	23	20	57
1999-00	18,502	24	20	56
2000-01	30,460	22	20	58
2001-02	25,450	22	18	60
2002-03	30,077	22	18	60
2003-04	28,236	21	18	61
2004-05	24,640	22	18	61
2005-06	19,459	23	19	58
2006-07	19,074	23	19	58
2007-08	17,770	24	20	56
2008-09	17,152	22	18	60
2009-10	16,519	22	18	60
2010-11	14,837	23	18	59
2011-12	16,050	21	19	60
2012-13	15,563	22	18	61
2013-14	15,924	21	18	62
2014-15	14,909	20	18	61
2015-16	14,551	20	17	63
2016-17	14,966	20	16	64
2017-18	15,310	19	17	64
2018-19	15,008	17	17	66

Table 8. Pennsylvania Sex-Age-Kill (PASAK) model estimates of post-hunt deer populations by Wildlife Management Unit (WMU), 2009 to 2018, Pennsylvania.

2010										
2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Trend
4,148	41,549	42,420	48,472	55,114	49,169	62,237	65,707	53,244	46,208	Stable
4,469	46,503	51,697	55,713	53,799	47,438	71,669	74,053	81,376	60,756	Stable
6,286	49,033	68,080	53,996	43,379	30,033	48,723	57,963	46,361	44,587	Stable
a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	Stable
2,340	66,729	64,888	61,386	68,683	66,027	83,350	69,034	113,659	85,400	Stable
6,493	101,182	102,440	113,774	144,084	110,214	117,823	112,499	140,281	104,622	Stable
8,317	38,134	30,384	44,546	45,529	50,549	43,081	43,144	56,635	46,170	Stable
6,887	70,765	53,210	83,063	65,614	61,020	67,152	74,387	108,575	86,836	Increasing
1,125	44,582	58,441	60,019	49,313	40,343	65,521	67,942	81,757	55,219	Stable
2,338	15,410	12,554	13,356	16,537	16,872	15,430	15,704	38,649	18,919	Stable
1,412	39,532	31,224	41,358	45,317	36,181	49,307	49,426	55,441	39,832	Stable
8,895	49,768	58,481	53,709	63,803	55,249	76,808	80,598	76,249	51,976	Stable
5,624	59,245	64,359	67,720	58,925	67,997	83,206	85,083	79,925	57,169	Stable
5,378	30,250	31,299	29,225	25,127	33,778	28,957	33,302	30,727	33,798	Stable
0,789	38,125	49,191	36,579	42,196	23,772	48,538	29,746	39,238	40,344	Stable
3,550	37,273	60,340	52,903	50,517	45,362	57,846	55,941	52,407	50,136	Stable
4,256	58,091	45,093	45,586	49,072	50,265	55,068	55,311	61,317	55,122	Increasing
6,284	73,017	70,495	67,011	61,428	56,905	60,398	63,984	99,997	61,441	Stable
6,311	51,706	44,225	48,318	50,707	59,206	64,923	62,285	70,064	60,055	Stable
0,512	21,098	35,598	28,014	29,715	25,032	20,081	28,581	33,243	25,162	Stable
3,213	55,951	60,723	75,260	63,591	60,538	66,282	73,573	85,790	77,485	Increasing
a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	Stable
a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	Stable
	4,148 4,469 6,286 a 2,340 6,493 8,317 6,887 1,125 2,338 1,412 8,895 5,624 5,378 0,789 3,550 4,256 6,284 6,311 0,512 3,213 a	4,148 41,549 4,469 46,503 6,286 49,033 a 2,340 66,729 6,493 101,182 8,317 38,134 6,887 70,765 1,125 44,582 2,338 15,410 1,412 39,532 8,895 49,768 5,624 59,245 5,378 30,250 0,789 38,125 3,550 37,273 4,256 58,091 6,284 73,017 6,311 51,706 0,512 21,098 3,213 55,951 a	4,148 41,549 42,420   4,469 46,503 51,697   5,286 49,033 68,080   a a a   2,340 66,729 64,888   6,493 101,182 102,440   8,317 38,134 30,384   6,887 70,765 53,210   1,125 44,582 58,441   2,338 15,410 12,554   1,412 39,532 31,224   8,895 49,768 58,481   5,624 59,245 64,359   5,378 30,250 31,299   0,789 38,125 49,191   3,550 37,273 60,340   4,256 58,091 45,093   5,311 51,706 44,225   0,512 21,098 35,598   3,213 55,951 60,723   a a a	4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386   6,493 101,182 102,440 113,774   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358   8,895 49,768 58,481 53,709   5,624 59,245 64,359 67,720   5,378 30,250 31,299 29,225   0,789 38,125 49,191 36,579   3,550 37,273 60,340 52,903   4,256 58,091 45,093 45,586   6,284 73,017 70,495 67,011   6,311 51,706 44,225 48,318   0,512 21,098 35,598 28,014 <t< td=""><td>4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683   6,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317   8,895 49,768 58,481 53,709 63,803   5,624 59,245 64,359 67,720 58,925   5,378 30,250 31,299 29,225 25,127   0,789 38,125 49,191 36,579 42,196   3,550 37,273 60,340 52,903 50,517   4,256 58,091 45,093 45,586 49,0</td><td>4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027   5,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549   5,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317 36,181   3,895 49,768 58,481 53,709 63,803 55,249   5,624 59,245 64,359 67,720 58,925 67,997   5,378 30,250 31,299 29,225 25,127 33,778   0,789 38,125 49,191</td><td>4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169 62,237   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438 71,669   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033 48,723   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027 83,350   6,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214 117,823   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549 43,081   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020 67,152   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343 65,521   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872 15,430   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317 36,181 49,307   3,895 49,768 58,481 53,709 63,803 55,249 76,808   5,624 59,245 64,359 67,720 58,925 67,997<!--</td--><td>4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169 62,237 65,707   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438 71,669 74,053   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033 48,723 57,963   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027 83,350 69,034   6,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214 117,823 112,499   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549 43,081 43,144   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020 67,152 74,387   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343 65,521 67,942   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872 15,430 15,704   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317 36,181 49,307 49,426   3,895 49,768 58,481 53,</td><td>4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169 62,237 65,707 53,244   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438 71,669 74,053 81,376   6,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033 48,723 57,963 46,361   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027 83,350 69,034 113,659   5,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214 117,823 112,499 140,281   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549 43,081 43,144 56,635   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020 67,152 74,387 108,575   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343 65,521 67,942 81,757   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872 15,430 15,704 38,649   1,412 39,532 31,224</td><td>4,148   41,549   42,420   48,472   55,114   49,169   62,237   65,707   53,244   46,208     4,469   46,503   51,697   55,713   53,799   47,438   71,669   74,053   81,376   60,756     6,286   49,033   68,080   53,996   43,379   30,033   48,723   57,963   46,361   44,587     2,340   66,729   64,888   61,386   68,683   66,027   83,350   69,034   113,659   85,400     5,493   101,182   102,440   113,774   144,084   110,214   117,823   112,499   140,281   104,622     8,317   38,134   30,384   44,546   45,529   50,549   43,081   43,144   56,635   46,170     5,887   70,765   53,210   83,063   65,614   61,020   67,152   74,387   108,575   86,836     1,125   44,582   58,441   60,019   49,313   40,343   65,521   67,942   81,757</td></td></t<>	4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683   6,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317   8,895 49,768 58,481 53,709 63,803   5,624 59,245 64,359 67,720 58,925   5,378 30,250 31,299 29,225 25,127   0,789 38,125 49,191 36,579 42,196   3,550 37,273 60,340 52,903 50,517   4,256 58,091 45,093 45,586 49,0	4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027   5,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549   5,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317 36,181   3,895 49,768 58,481 53,709 63,803 55,249   5,624 59,245 64,359 67,720 58,925 67,997   5,378 30,250 31,299 29,225 25,127 33,778   0,789 38,125 49,191	4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169 62,237   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438 71,669   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033 48,723   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027 83,350   6,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214 117,823   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549 43,081   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020 67,152   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343 65,521   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872 15,430   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317 36,181 49,307   3,895 49,768 58,481 53,709 63,803 55,249 76,808   5,624 59,245 64,359 67,720 58,925 67,997 </td <td>4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169 62,237 65,707   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438 71,669 74,053   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033 48,723 57,963   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027 83,350 69,034   6,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214 117,823 112,499   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549 43,081 43,144   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020 67,152 74,387   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343 65,521 67,942   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872 15,430 15,704   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317 36,181 49,307 49,426   3,895 49,768 58,481 53,</td> <td>4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169 62,237 65,707 53,244   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438 71,669 74,053 81,376   6,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033 48,723 57,963 46,361   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027 83,350 69,034 113,659   5,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214 117,823 112,499 140,281   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549 43,081 43,144 56,635   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020 67,152 74,387 108,575   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343 65,521 67,942 81,757   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872 15,430 15,704 38,649   1,412 39,532 31,224</td> <td>4,148   41,549   42,420   48,472   55,114   49,169   62,237   65,707   53,244   46,208     4,469   46,503   51,697   55,713   53,799   47,438   71,669   74,053   81,376   60,756     6,286   49,033   68,080   53,996   43,379   30,033   48,723   57,963   46,361   44,587     2,340   66,729   64,888   61,386   68,683   66,027   83,350   69,034   113,659   85,400     5,493   101,182   102,440   113,774   144,084   110,214   117,823   112,499   140,281   104,622     8,317   38,134   30,384   44,546   45,529   50,549   43,081   43,144   56,635   46,170     5,887   70,765   53,210   83,063   65,614   61,020   67,152   74,387   108,575   86,836     1,125   44,582   58,441   60,019   49,313   40,343   65,521   67,942   81,757</td>	4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169 62,237 65,707   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438 71,669 74,053   5,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033 48,723 57,963   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027 83,350 69,034   6,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214 117,823 112,499   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549 43,081 43,144   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020 67,152 74,387   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343 65,521 67,942   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872 15,430 15,704   1,412 39,532 31,224 41,358 45,317 36,181 49,307 49,426   3,895 49,768 58,481 53,	4,148 41,549 42,420 48,472 55,114 49,169 62,237 65,707 53,244   4,469 46,503 51,697 55,713 53,799 47,438 71,669 74,053 81,376   6,286 49,033 68,080 53,996 43,379 30,033 48,723 57,963 46,361   2,340 66,729 64,888 61,386 68,683 66,027 83,350 69,034 113,659   5,493 101,182 102,440 113,774 144,084 110,214 117,823 112,499 140,281   8,317 38,134 30,384 44,546 45,529 50,549 43,081 43,144 56,635   6,887 70,765 53,210 83,063 65,614 61,020 67,152 74,387 108,575   1,125 44,582 58,441 60,019 49,313 40,343 65,521 67,942 81,757   2,338 15,410 12,554 13,356 16,537 16,872 15,430 15,704 38,649   1,412 39,532 31,224	4,148   41,549   42,420   48,472   55,114   49,169   62,237   65,707   53,244   46,208     4,469   46,503   51,697   55,713   53,799   47,438   71,669   74,053   81,376   60,756     6,286   49,033   68,080   53,996   43,379   30,033   48,723   57,963   46,361   44,587     2,340   66,729   64,888   61,386   68,683   66,027   83,350   69,034   113,659   85,400     5,493   101,182   102,440   113,774   144,084   110,214   117,823   112,499   140,281   104,622     8,317   38,134   30,384   44,546   45,529   50,549   43,081   43,144   56,635   46,170     5,887   70,765   53,210   83,063   65,614   61,020   67,152   74,387   108,575   86,836     1,125   44,582   58,441   60,019   49,313   40,343   65,521   67,942   81,757

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> PASAK model estimates are not available for these WMUs. See Rosenberry et al. 2011 for further information. Population trend assessment in these WMUs is based on antlered harvests and antlerless catch per unit effort estimates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> WMUs 2G and 2H were created in 2013 by dividing WMU 2G.

Table 9. Antlerless license allocations by Wildlife Management Unit (WMU), 2005-06 to 2018-19, Pennsylvania.

WMU	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
1A	42,000	42,000	42,000	41,705	42,000	42,000	49,000	47,000	46,000	46,000	52,000	48,000	49,000
1B	30,000	30,000	30,000	27,844	30,000	33,000	31,000	30,000	29,000	29,000	35,000	37,000	35,000
2A	60,000	55,000	55,000	54,879	65,000	59,000	49,000	46,000	43,000	43,000	50,000	49,000	46,000
2B	68,000	68,000	68,000	68,000	71,000	67,000	62,000	60,000	61,000	61,000	60,000	58,000	54,000
2C	49,000	49,000	49,000	44,107	58,000	50,000	43,000	38,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	44,000	52,000
2D	56,000	56,000	56,000	50,123	60,000	62,000	61,000	61,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	63,000	66,000
2E	21,000	21,000	21,000	20,407	25,000	21,000	22,000	21,000	21,000	21,000	22,000	27,000	32,000
2F	28,000	28,000	28,000	22,148	34,000	27,000	29,000	27,000	22,000	22,000	24,000	23,000	31,000
$2G^a$	26,000	26,000	26,000	15,210	23,000	33,000	28,000	22,000	22,000	21,000	25,500	30,000	26,000
$2H^a$							6,000	5,500	6,500	6,000	7,000	6,000	6,000
3A	29,000	26,000	26,000	25,247	26,000	26,000	23,000	18,000	19,000	15,000	20,000	22,000	20,000
3B	43,000	43,000	43,000	33,761	40,000	40,000	39,000	33,000	28,000	28,000	30,000	29,000	38,000
3C	27,000	27,000	27,000	26,358	29,000	35,000	35,000	32,000	36,000	36,000	42,000	38,000	46,000
3D	38,000	37,000	37,000	31,622	39,000	39,000	32,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
4A	29,000	29,000	29,000	27,521	28,000	29,000	28,000	28,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	38,000	41,000
4B	23,000	23,000	23,000	22,148	23,000	26,000	24,000	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000	32,000
4C	39,000	35,000	35,000	34,351	35,000	35,000	27,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	29,000	30,000	36,000
4D	40,000	40,000	40,000	30,052	37,000	36,000	35,000	33,000	33,000	34,000	34,000	34,000	46,000
4E	38,000	30,000	30,000	26,899	29,000	28,000	26,000	21,000	25,000	25,000	27,500	32,000	34,000
5A	22,000	19,000	19,000	18,269	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	22,000	23,000	22,000
5B	53,000	51,000	51,000	50,812	50,000	51,000	50,000	49,000	50,000	50,000	57,000	58,000	67,000
5C	84,000	92,000	113,000	121,960	117,000	111,000	103,000	95,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000
5D	20,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	19,000	18,000	18,000	24,000	30,000	30,000	28,000	29,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> WMUs 2G and 2H were created in 2013 by dividing WMU 2G.